

Assayers Foundation of British Columbia



MINUTES of the Advisory Council Meeting of the British Columbia Certified Assayers Program Held by conference call

Date: 27-Jan-2011

Participant:

Member	Role	Affiliation	Address
Mac Chaudhry	Examiners Board Chair	BC Gov. (Ret.)	Victoria, BC.
Scott Daniels	Chair-Advisory Council	Teck Metals	Trail, BC.
John Gravel	Member, Advisory Council	Acme Analytical	Vancouver, BC.
Alice Pang	Member, Advisory Council	ALS	N. Vancouver, BC.

Meeting was called to order by Scott Daniels at 11:02 a.m.

Moved by Scott Daniels, first by John Gravel and seconded by Mac Chaudhry that the minutes for the following meeting and conference calls be accepted.

Meeting: November 26, 2010.

Conference calls: July 23, 2010

October 22, 2010.

October 29, 2010.

November 5, 2010.

Novemeber 19, 2010.

Scott Daniels: The spring Advisory Council meeting is set on April 29, 2011. Based on the discussion in the November 26 meeting, there is a need to explore for the fourth model which is the hybridized of all three funding models. In the short term we have a concern on our finances and the intention is to move along early this year and send invoices not only to companies that had offered significant support (namely ACME, ALS, SGS, TECK, Anachemia Sciences, Rock Labs) and others that should be on our list but also to the Government of BC.

John Gravel: The invoiced amount to the BC government should at least be around \$5000. Although the government is tight for funds, it should not be over straining their budget.

Assayers Foundation of British Columbia

Mac Chaudhry: The BC government is a major player of this program. Since all the other major players are contributing close to \$10,000, the invoice for the government should be \$10,000 as well.

Alice Pang: We should ask for \$10,000.

Scott Daniels: It is supposed to be a three-member partnership (industries, individuals and government); the target is one third of our funding will be coming from the government in the long term plan. If the estimated funding required is \$60,000 per year, one third of that will be \$20,000. \$5,000 will be definitely too low. If we are going to send an invoice of \$10,000 (to the government) this should not be expected to stay at \$10,000 forever. However, contribution from the government in any amount would be important to the group; it will be shown at least as a sign of support symbolically.

John Gravel: A letter will be drafted up to send with the invoice.

| *Mac Chaudhry:* This should be promoted as a partnership of equal spirit to the BC government.

John Gravel: There are also other labs that we can send out invoices to. A letter of introduction should be sent along.

Scott Daniels: Should the amount of contribution for individual lab be tied to the number of assayers they have?

John Gravel: Will be contacting the potential labs and work out what is possible.

Mac Chaudhry: One other area to look into (for funding contribution) is the laboratory equipment suppliers and instrument manufacturers.

Scott Daniels: For the individual member funding, a model needs to be drafted up to incorporate the three-member partnership model.

Action Items:

John Gravel: Draft up invoices, letter and letter of introduction to potential funding contributors.

Scott Daniels: Draft up the individual membership funding model to incorporate into the three-member partnership funding model.

Meeting adjourned at 11:23a.m.